# BLADDER CANCER AND CIGARETTE SMOKING IN MALES: A CASE-CONTROL STUDY

# Paolo Vineis,1 Bruno Frea,2 Eugenio Uberti,3 Valeria Ghisetti 1 and Benedetto Terracini 1

e Servizio di Epidemiologia dei Tumori. Ospedale Maggiore e Università di Torino; Istituto di Urologia, Università di Torino, and Reparto di Urologia, Ospedale Maggiore & S. Giovanni Battista e della città di Torino)

Cigarette consumption was compared between 355 males with cancer of the lower urinary tract and 276 male hospital controls. Both duration of smoking and average daily consumption of cigarettes showed a dose-response relationship with risks of developing bladder cancer. Quitting smoking seems to have a protective role, whereas higher relative risks are associated with an early age at start of smoking. The use of a filter seems to have a weak protective effect.

Epidemiological studies both of the case-control and cohort types have consistently shown that cigarette smoking is a determinant of urinary tract cancer. A unique previous observation suggesting that this might not be the case in the U.K. (1) was ntradicted in a more recent study (2). Relative ks for smokers (any amount of tobacco) vs. non-smokers have consistently been in the order of 1.5-3. A dose-response relationship was found when risks were assessed separately for different categories of smokers, with relative risks ranging up to five for life-long smokers of 20 or more eigarettes per day (6). The mechanism of the association is not known: on chemical grounds, the finding of 2-naphthylamine (up to 2 ng/cigarette) and other aromatic amines in tobacco smoke (9) is of interest, but it is difficult to establish whether they explain all of the effect on the urinary tract.

The association between tobacco smoke and cancer of the urinary tract has been confirmed in the first phase of an on-going case-control study in the Province of Torino (10). The present report describes further analyses of this study, considering some biological variables such as age at diagnosis, age at which smoking started, the impact of quitting smoke, as well as the type of cigarettes (filtered/unfiltered) smoked.

Material and methods

The study is hospital-based and includes residents in the Province of Torino. It started in 1978 and was redesigned early in 1981. In the first phase (10), 225 cases and as many controls were entered: controls were patients attending the two urological departments where cases were recruited; patients with bematuria of unspecified origin, cystitis, cancer at any site, or polyps of the urinary tract were not eligible as controls. Only men aged under 70 were included in this phase: since 1981, the study was extended to women (who are not included in the present report) and to age 75. In addition, interviews of urological controls have been discontinued, whereas a new series was started of randomly chosen controls from five departments of general surgery (excluding cardio- and thoraco-surgery) in the same hospital. In the present analysis, no pathological condition was excluded among these controls: there were 5/51 patients with cancer (3 colon and 2 gastric cancers), and the others were affected by 33 different benign conditions.

The study is on-going. The present report is based on 355 male cases (average age at first diagnosis 57.3 years), 225 male urological con-

Acknowledgments: This work was partially supported by Progetto Finalizzato C.N.R. « Controllo della crescita recoplastica » (contracts no. 80.02512.96 and 81.01473.96) and by Associazione Italiana per le Ricerche sul Cancro.

Address for reprint requests: Dr. P. Vineis, Istituto di Anatomia Patologica, Via Santena 7, 10126 Torino, Italy.

Received July 6, 1982.

2063630176

Table 1 - Total number of cigarettes smoked during life in cases and controls: Age-standardized relative risks (RR).

Total number of cigarettes (thousands)	Cases	Controls	RR	95 % Confidence limits
Non-smokers		48	1.0	_
1 - 72	24	34	2.6	1.1 - 6.1
73 - 144	42	53	2.4	1.1 - 5.1
145 - 216	67	38	5.7	2.8 - 11.6
217 +	208	103	7.0	3.9 - 12.6
Total	355	276		

 $\chi$  Mantel extension = 7.37.

Table 2 - Duration of cigarette smoking of cases (Ca) and controls (Con): Age-specific and age-standardized relative risks (RR).

Smoking duration (years)	Age < 50		Age 50-59		Age 60+		All ages		95 %
	Ca/Con	RR	Ca/Con	RR	Ca/Con	RR	Ca/Con	RR	Confidence limits
Non-smokers	2/7	1.0	3/17	1.0	9/24	1,0	14/48	1.0	_
< 20	6/18	1.2	5/7	4.0	7/9.	2.0	18/34	2.2	0.9 - 5.5
20 - 39			64/57	6.3	24/25	2.6	146/106	4.3	2.3 - 8.3
40 +	64/24	9.3	50/18	15.7	121/70	4.6	177/88	0.8	4.3 - 15.0
Total	72/49		122/99		161/128		355/276		

Table 3 - Average daily cigarette consumption of cases (Ca) and controls (Con) throughout life: Age-specific and age-standardized relative risks (RR).

Average cigarettes/day	Age < 50		Age 50-59		· Age 60	Age 60+		es	95 %
	Ca/Con	RR	Ca/Con	RR	Ca/Con	ŖR	_Ca/Con	RR	Confidence limits
Non-smokers	2/7	1.0	3/17	1.0	9/24	1.0	14/48	1,0	_
<b>≤</b> 9	13/12	3.8	13/24	3.0	29/34	2.3	55/70	2.7	1.4 - 5,5
10 - 19	42/17	8.6	70/42	9.4	75/54	3.7	187/113	5.7	3.1 - 10.4
20 +	15/13 (χ Mante = 1.5		36/16	12.7	48/16	0.8	99/45	9.0	4.6 - 17.8
Total	72/49		122/99		161/128		. 355/276		

Riadder cancer and

trols, and 51 male of controls at interv 185 were interview diagnosis (incident valent; the diagnos histologically confir scopic diagnosis.

All patients were somel using a stan cluded detailed queonsumption. The consumption up to interview for contramum-likelihood estil were computed with calculations were Boice's programs (8)

Originally, some a sidering separately a cases or urological a both cases only mi results are presented and controls.

Table 4 - Time since q tegory: non-smokers).

Years since
quitting
smoking

≤2 (including current

3 - 9

10 - 14

15 🕂

Non-smokers

Total

Table 5 - Time since q

Years	since
	smoking

≤2 (including current:

3-9

10 - 14

15 +

trols, and 51 male surgical controls (average age of controls at interview 57.7 years). Among cases, 185 were interviewed within 6 months of the diagnosis (incident cases) while 170 were prevalent; the diagnosis of 274/355 cases has been histologically confirmed, the others had a cystoscopic diagnosis.

Il patients were interviewed by trained personnel using a standard questionnaire which included detailed questions on life-long tobacco consumption. The analyses considered cigarette consumption up to diagnosis for cases and up to interview for controls. Relative risks are maximum-likelihood estimates; 95% confidence limits were computed with Miettinen's formula (7). All calculations were done using Rothman and Boice's programs (8) with a HP-67 calculator.

Originally, some analyses were performed considering separately either incident and prevalent cases or urological and surgical controls. Since in both cases only minor differences were found, results are presented with reference to all cases and controls.

#### Results

Relative risks for total number of cigarettes smoked throughout life are given in Table 1. The dose-response relationship is not linear, and this probably reflects the fact that this variable includes 2 different components, i.e., duration and daily dose. Age-standardized relative risks associated only to smoking duration (table 2) and to average number of cigarettes/day (table 3) showed a more satisfactory internal coherence. With the exception of smokers of 20 or more cigarettes/day aged < 50 years, all age-specific relative risks associated to either duration or daily consumption were lowest for people aged 60+ at diagnosis.

Among ex-smokers, risks decreased as time elapsed since quitting (tables 4 and 5). However, quitting smoking is probably confounded by age, duration, and number of cigarettes smoked throughout life. Therefore, risks were standardized by total number of cigarettes: this was done separately for age-classes < 60 and 60+. In the former age-class, risks (relative to current smokers

Table 4 - Time since quitting smoking in cases and controls: Age-standardized relative risks (RR) (reference category: non-smokers).

Years since quitting smoking	No. of cases	No. of controls	*RR	95 % Confidence limits
≤ 2 (including current smokers)	278	158	6.0	3.4 - 10.7
3 - 9	28	26	3.7	1.7 - 8.3
10 - 14	13	12	3.6	1.3 - 9.7
15 +	22	32	2.1	0.9 - 4.9
Non-smokers	14	48	1.0	<del></del>
Total	355	276		

Table 5 - Time since quitting smoking: Age-specific relative risks (standardized by total amount of cigarettes).

Varior stores	Relative risk for age a		
Years since quitting smoking	< 60	60 +	
2 (including current smokers)	1.0	1.0	(reference category)
6-9	0.49	0.77	
10 - 14	0.30	1.07	
15 +	0.27	0.86	

Table 6 - Age at start of cigarette smoking in cases and controls: age-standardized relative risks (RR) (reference category: non-smokers).

Age at start (years)	No. of cases	No. of controls	RR	95 % Confidence limits
<b>≤</b> 12	42	20	7.9	3.6 - 17.6
13 - 16	133	83	5.5	3.0 - 10.4
17 - 20	132	94	4.9	2.6 - 9.2
21 +	34	31	3.8	1.8 - 8.2
Non-smokers	14	48	1.0	
Total	355	- 276		

Table 7 - Age at start of cigarette smoking: age-specific relative risks (standardized by total amount of cigarettes).

Age at start (years)	Relative			
	< 50	50-59	60 +	
		9		
<b>≠</b> 12	0.1	1.0	1.0	(reference category)
13 - 16	1.36	0.46	0.72	
17 +	2.25	0.56	0.62	
				Mary Land

Table 8 - Proportion of cigarettes with a filter smoked throughout life in cases and controls: age-specific relative risks (RR) (standardized by total number of cigarettes throughout life; non-smokers are excluded).

	% cigarettes with filter	No. of cases	No. of controls	RR	95 % Confidence limits
Age < 50	< 50	41	19	1.0	_
	≥ 50	29	23	0.6	0.3 - 1.5
Age 50 - 59	< 50	74	48	1.0	_
	≥ 50	45	34	0.9	0.5 - 1.6
Age 60 +	< 50	111	68	1.0	
	≥ 50	41	36	0.6	0.4 - 1.1

+quitters since 1-2 years) \ 0.30 and 0.27 among ex-sm for 3-9, 10-14 and 15+ yea figures among men aged 60 0.86. Only the 0.27 relative \ 60 who had quitted smok ar upper 95% confidence (0.08-0.85).

Another apparently impo which smoking started: a ne ship between age at start a obvious (tables 6 and 7). founding by age and number out life cannot be ruled c (relative to smokers starting earlier) following standardiz of cigarettes were then est relative risks for smokers and 17+ were respectively respectively on 33 cases an responding figures were 0.46 (respectively 40 and 65 casi at age 60+ (respectively 60 fidence intervals of all these

The role of a filter was paring the proportion of ca smoked at least 50% cigarwas done separately for th 50-59 and 60+ (table 8). An portion of people predomina cigarettes were respectively thus suggesting a trend con tively recent marketing of the 3 age-classes, people pr filtered cigarettes exhibited relative to people predomi filter cigarettes.

meer eigarettes.

## Discussion

The present study confirm of smoking and daily num associated with the risk of cancer. In comparison with tions, relative risks were seexample, the relative risk of cigarettes/day was around 2 (3), 3.8 in a study in Toron present study. Also, a higher the population can be compared (about 17%).

This might reflect a differ tential of cigarettes smoked in different periods. It is kno in Southern Europe at least

+quitters since 1-2 years) were respectively 0.49, 0.30 and 0.27 among ex-smokers who had quitted for 3-9, 10-14 and 15+ years. The corresponding figures among men aged 60+ were 0.77, 1.07 and 0.86. Only the 0.27 relative risk among men aged < 60 who had guitted smoking for 15+ years had an upper 95% confidence limit lower than ! (0.08-0.85).

Another apparently important factor is age at which smoking started: a negative linear relationship between age at start and relative risks was obvious (tables 6 and 7). However, again, confounding by age and number of cigarettes throughout life cannot be ruled out. Age-specific risks (relative to smokers starting at 12 years of age or earlier) following standardization by total number of cigarettes were then estimated. At age < 50, relative risks for smokers starting at age 13-16 and 17+ were respectively 1.36 and 2.25 (based respectively on 33 cases and 30 cases): the corresponding figures were 0.46 and 0.56 at age 50-59 (respectively 40 and 65 cases) and 0.72 and 0.62 at age 60+ (respectively 60 and 71 cases). Confidence intervals of all these risks included 1.0.

The role of a filter was investigated by comparing the proportion of cases and controls who smoked at least 50% cigarettes with filter: this as done separately for the 3 age-classes < 50, 0-59 and 60+ (table 8). Among controls, the proportion of people predominantly smoking filtered cigarettes were respectively 55%, 41% and 35%, thus suggesting a trend consistent with the relatively recent marketing of filtered cigarettes. In the 3 age-classes, people predominantly smoking filtered cigarettes exhibited risks lower than 1 relative to people predominantly smoking nonfilter cigarettes.

### Discussion

The present study confirms that both duration of smoking and daily number of cigarettes are associated with the risk of developing bladder cancer. In comparison with previous investigations, relative risks were somewhat higher. For example, the relative risk for smokers of 10-19 cigarettes/day was around 2 in a study in Boston (3), 3.8 in a study in Toronto (6), and 5.7 in the present study. Also, a higher attributable risk in the population can be computed from our study (about 77%).

This might reflect a different carcinogenic potential of cigarettes smoked in different countries in different periods. It is known that people living in Southern Europe at least in the past smoked

black tobacco more frequently than blond tobacco, in contrast with people living in Northern Europe and the U.S.A. (9).

The slow but obvious decline of relative risks after quitting smoking is similar to the pattern described by Wynder and Goldsmith (11) for bladder cancer and by Doll (5) for lung cancer. The present findings suggest that the impact persists following standardization for total number of cigarettes and that it is concentrated among cancers diagnosed before age 60. Age at which smoking started also scems to be associated to the relative risk for bladder cancer, but this requires confirmation.

It has been postulated (4) that relative risks that increase with increasing age at which smoking started are suggestive of a role of tobacco smoke in « late » stages of carcinogenesis, whereas risks decreasing with age at start would indicate a role of tobacco smoke in «early» stages. Similarly, the hypothesis of an «early» effect is coherent with stability of risks after quitting, whereas the hypothesis of a « late » effect is coherent with a dicrease of relative risks after quitting (4). The present data are somewhat contradictory. Among people developing the disease after age 60, results are consistent with the hypothesis of an early effect of tobacco smoke in bladder carcinogenesis. However, among people diagnosed in younger ages, the impact of quitting smoke and of age at start would rather suggest a « late » effect of tobacco in bladder carcinogenesis. Possible explanations for this discrepancy (which requires confirmation) include: a) a different chemical composition of the smoke of cigarettes produced in different calendar periods, b) an age-associated differential in the interaction with other bladder carcinogens and particularly the occupational ones (10).

A protective role of a filter is suggested by the present findings but it seems to be weak, in confirmation of previous findings (6).

Cancro vescicale e fumo di sigarette nei maschi: uno studio caso-controllo

In uno studio caso-controllo in corso tra i residenti nella provincia di Torino, vengono messi a confronto i consumi di sigarette di 355 pazienti maschi affetti da tumori maligni delle basse vie urinarie e di 276 controlli ospedalieri maschi. Sia la durata sia la quantità media giornaliera di sigarette mostrano una relazione dose-risposta con il rischio di contrarre cancro vescicale. La cessazione del consumo sembra svolgere un ruolo protettivo, mentre rischi relativi maggiori sono associati a un'età precoce di inizio del consumo. All'uso di filtro sembra connesso un debole effetto di protezione.

Tumori, 69: 23-30, 1

#### References

- I. Anthony H.M., Thomas G.M.: Bladder tumours and smoking. Int. J. Cancer, 5: 266-272, 1970.
- Cartwright R.A., Adib R., Glashan R., Gray B.K.: The epidemiology of bladder cancer in West Yorkshire. A preliminary report on non-occupational actiologics. Carcinogenesis, 2: 343-347, 1981.
- Cole P., Monson R.R., Haning H., Friedell G.H.: Smoking and cancer of the lower urinary tract. N. Engl. J. Med., 284: 129-134, 1971.
- Day N.E., Brown C.C.: Multistage models and primary prevention of cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 64: 977-989, 1980.
- 5. Doll R.: An epidemiological perspective of the biology of cancer, Cancer Res., 38: 3573-3583, 1978.
- Howe G.R., Burch J.D., Miller A.B., Cook G.M., Esteve J., Morrison B., Gordon P., Chambers L.W., Fodor G., Winsor G.M.: Tobacco use, occupation,

coffee, various nutrients and bladder cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 64: 701-713, 1980.

- Miettinen O.S.: Estimability and estimation in casereferent studies. Am. J. Epidemiol., 103: 226-235, 1976
- 8. Rothman K.J., Boice J.D. Jr.: Epidemiologic analysis with a programmable calculator. U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington D.C., 1979.
- 9. U.S. Dhew: Smoking and health: A report of the Surgeon General, P.H.S. Publication 79-50066. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington D.C., 1979.
- Vineis P., Segnan N., Costa G., Terracini B.: Evidence of a multiplicative effect between cigarette smoking and occupational exposures in the aetiology of bladder cancer. Cancer Lett., 14: 285-290, 1981.
- 11. Wynder E.L., Goldsmith R.: The epidemiology of bladder cancer. A second look. Cancer, 40: 1246-1268, 1977.

CARCINOEM CANCERS. I

Józef Kula, Antor Józef Sward and

(\* Department of Pc Obstetrics and Gyn-

> Tl alt set flu dit sp-

There are repo contain carcinoen although not much in these neoplasm or related to the antigen first discussariointestinal to cerning CEA locusually do not tall determination of possibility of a correacting antigen (

The purpose of the tissue localizerum free from the bodies with the elogic evaluation coperative determinations. We commelationship amontissue distribution this antigen into the

Acknowledgments:

Address for reprin Medicine, ul. Marc

Received July 14, 1

ij